

Research Article**ANTIULCEROGENIC EFFECTS OF *SPATHODEA FALCATA* AGAINST DIFFERENT EXPERIMENTAL MODELS**

*A. S. JAIN, S. J. SURANA, Department of Pharmacognosy, R.C.Patel College of Pharmacy, Karvand Naka, Shirpur- 425405, Dist. Dhule, Maharashtra, India E mail: ashish_aeish@rediffmail.com

ABSTRACT

Spathodea falcata is used in Indian folk medicine as an antiulcerogenic agent. This study was intended to evaluate the antiulcer property of various extracts of bark of *Spathodea falcata* at different dose levels in ethanol induced and indomethacin induced gastric ulcer models. It was observed that oral administration of all the extracts shows significant reduction in ulcer lesion index as well as increase in volume and pH of gastric content in both experimental models, being terpenoids and steroids fraction is the most effective at dose of 100 mg/kg; it significantly reduced gastric lesion index (80.48%), in comparison to omeprazole (71.20%) and petroleum ether extract at a dose of 500 mg/kg (80.67%). Increased gastric mucosal defense mechanism by terpenoids and steroids fraction is due to its high levels of terpenoids like β amyrin, lupeol. The present results clearly shows antiulcer effect of *Spathodea falcata* against various irritants has been mainly due to cytoprotective effect mediated through prostaglandin and partly due to free radical scavenging activity.

KEY WORDS *Spathodea falcata*, Antiulcer, Cytoprotective.

INTRODUCTION

Peptic ulcer is the most common GIT disorder in the present day life of the industrialized and civilized world. The changing pattern of clinical evaluation and regulatory requirements for merits and demerits of drugs will be highlighted for future challenges and advances in antiulcer drug development¹. In this aspect, the plant kingdom might provide a useful source of new antiulcer compounds for the development as pharmaceutical entities or alternately, as simple dietary adjuncts to existing therapies.

Spathodea falcata is a deciduous tree 6-15 m high, grows fairly abundantly in central India, Bihar, and the Deccan plateau². The bark is used in Indian traditional medicine as an antiulcer, antipyretic and anti-inflammatory agent³. Leaves are reported to contain chrysin 7- rutinoside⁴. Despite the popular use of this species as a medicinal plant, there is no more data available about its phytochemistry and pharmacological effect. Therefore, present study has been aimed to investigate the antiulcer effects of various extracts of bark of *Spathodea falcata* at different dose levels.

MATERIALS AND METHODS**Plant Material**

Bark of *Spathodea falcata* were collected from Satpuda valley, Dist: Nandurbar, Maharashtra, India. The plant was authenticated at Department of Botany, S.S.V.P.S's College of Science, Dhule, Maharashtra. The voucher specimen has been kept at Pharmacognosy Department, R.C.Patel College of Pharmacy, Shirpur. The bark was shed dried, ground and sieved with a 40 mesh sieve.

Preparation of Extracts

About 1 kg of bark powder was subjected to hot extraction using soxhlet extractor, successively with petroleum ether, chloroform and methanol. All the extracts were filtered and concentrated under reduce pressure by using rotary flash vacuum evaporator and then dried by using vacuum dryer, giving SFPE (2.8 %), SFCL (1.9 %) and SFME (12.6 %) respectively.

Extraction of Plant Material for GC-MS Analysis

100 gm bark powder of *Spathodea falcata* were extracted with ethyl acetate. After filtration, the acidic compounds were extracted out with 5% aqueous KOH (three times) followed by the extraction of the basic compounds with 5% aqueous HCl (three times). The organic fraction, which contain the neutral compounds, was washed with water to pH- 7 and concentrated in rotary vacuum evaporator to 50 ml. Suspended particle were removed by centrifuging the concentrated extract for 10 min at 6000 rpm. Then solvent was evaporated to dryness, giving a residue (terpenoids and steroids fraction-TSF), which was dissolved in chloroform for GC-MS analysis.

Chromatographic Analysis

GC-MS analysis was performed on a Hewlett-Packard 5890 gas chromatograph, with a split injector (1:50) at 280 °C and a Hewlett-Packard 5970 mass selective detector (MSD), with the GC-MS interface temperature at 280 °C. The injection volume was 2µl. Hydrogen was employed as carrier gas, at a pressure of 60 kPa. A HP-1 25m x 0.25 mm x 0.33 µm methylpolysiloxane cross-linked capillary column was employed with temperature programming from 100 °C (held for 2 min) to 280 °C (held for 30 min) at a ratio of 4 °C/min.

Animals

The study was performed with male wistar rats (150-200 g), housed in standard environmental conditions (25 ± 3°C and humidity 60 ± 5%) under a 12h dark: 12h light cycle. During maintenance animals received a diet of food pellets (Amrut Labs, Pune) and water ad libitum. Before the experiments, the animals were deprived of food for 24hrs. Experimental protocol were designed to meet the "Guidelines of animal experimentation",

approved by the ethical committee of the institute.

Antiulcer Activity

Antiulcer activity was evaluated using two different assay models for induction of gastric lesions: NSAID-induced (indomethacin) and absolute ethanol- induced gastric lesions⁵. For sake of comparison, animals were treated with omeprazole and cimetidine, depending on the experiment. At the end of each experiment, the animals were sacrificed by cervical dislocation; the stomach was removed and its gastric content was collected. Then stomach opened along the greater curvature and fixed between two glass plates. Free acidity, total acidity, volume and pH of gastric content were calculated as per standard methods. Ulcer lesion index calculated by severity of gastric mucosal lesion, graded as follows:

- 1) Loss of normal morphology, discoloration of mucosa, mucosal damage, hemorrhagic streaks (1 point each)
- 2) Petechial point (< 10, 2 points, ≥10, 3 points) and
- 3) No. / Size of the ulcers (number of ulcer until 1mm x 2 points, larger than 1 mm x 3 points)
- 4) Perforated ulcer (number of ulcer x 4 points).

The percentage determination is as follows:

$$\text{index} = \frac{(\text{Control mean lesion} - \text{Test mean lesion})}{\text{Control mean lesion index}} \times 100$$

Ethanol Induced Gastric Lesion

After 24 h fasting, the rats were divided into seven groups of six animals each.

The group I served as a normal control, given 1% CMC in water (5 ml/kg, p.o), Group II was treated orally with omeprazole (30 mg/kg), Group III and V orally received 250 mg/kg of petroleum ether and methanol extract respectively, while Group IV and VI orally received 500

mg/kg of petroleum ether and methanol extract respectively.

Group VII received 100 mg/kg of TSF. After 45 min, ulceration was induced by oral administration of 1 ml of absolute ethanol.

Animals were sacrificed after 1h following the administration of absolute ethanol ⁶.

Indomethacin Induced Gastric Lesion

After 24 h fasting, the rats were divided into seven groups of six animals each. The group I served as a normal control, given 1% CMC in water (5 ml/kg, p.o), Group II was treated orally with cimetidine (100 mg/kg). Remaining groups (group III – VII) were treated as previously described. After 45 min,

ulceration was induced by oral administration of 100 mg/kg of indomethacin. Animals were sacrificed

RESULTS

Phytochemical Studies

Phytochemical investigations of petroleum ether extract and chloroform extract revealed the presence of terpenes and steroids while methanol extract shows the presence of hydrolysable tannins, saponin glycosides, proteins & amino acids. after 1h following the administration of indomethacin ⁷.

Statistical Analysis

All the results are reported as mean \pm SEM. The statistical analysis was carried

Table 1: The mass fragment of identified components from *Spathodea falcata* by GC-MS.

| Compound | Retention Time | M+ | Main Fragments | % ^a |
|-------------------|----------------|-----|--|----------------|
| Lupeol | 42.62 | 426 | 257, 220, 218,203,189, 175,147,121,105 | 11.55 |
| β amyrin | 40.94 | 426 | 218, 203, 189, 135, 105 | 8.54 |
| Stigmasterol | 40.05 | 412 | 394, 255, 213, 159, 145, 107, 105, 81, 69, 55, 41, | 2.06 |
| Campesterol | 39.03 | 400 | 381, 255, 231, 199, 161,145, 121, 91, 69 | 1.13 |
| Y- Sitosterol | 37.65 | 414 | 381, 283,213,189,147, 133, 121, 109, 95 | 11.90 |
| Octacosane | 28.17 | 394 | 214, 207, 131, 99, 85, 71, 57, 43 | 3.46 |
| Hexadecanoic acid | 18.80 | 255 | 241, 157, 115, 101, 88, 69, 55, 43, 41 | 3.24 |

^a The area of GC-MS peak depend not only on concentration of corresponding compound, but also on the intensity of their mass spectral fragmentation, so the data given in table is not true quantitation but can be used for comparison between two samples

Table 2: Effect of different doses of extracts on ethanol induced gastric ulcer

| Treatment | Dose (mg/kg) | n | Ulcerative Lesion Index (ULI) | ULI Inhibition (%) |
|------------|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--------------------|
| Control | 5 | 6 | 31.67 \pm 1.84 | ---- |
| Omeprazole | 30 | 6 | 9.12 \pm 1.05 ^c | 71.20 |
| SFPE | 250 | 6 | 10.92 \pm 1.46 ^b | 65.51 |
| | 500 | 6 | 6.12 \pm 1.18 ^c | 80.67 |
| SFME | 250 | 6 | 20.48 \pm 2.62 ^a | 35.33 |
| | 500 | 6 | 14.27 \pm 2.19 ^b | 54.94 |
| TSF | 100 | 6 | 6.19 \pm 0.33 ^c | 80.48 |

Significant difference compared to control group ^a p<0.05, ^b p<0.005, ^c p<0.001

out using one-way ANOVA followed by Dennett's multiple comparison and p value < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Table 3: Effect of extracts on pH, volume of gastric fluid, free acidity and total acidity in ethanol induced gastric ulcer

| Treatment | Dose (mg/kg) | n | Gastric volume | pH | Free acidity | Total acidity |
|------------|--------------|---|--------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Control | 5 | 6 | 2.15 ± 0.1 | 2.1 ± 0.11 | 30.43 ± 0.84 | 48.33 ± 0.49 |
| Omeprazole | 30 | 6 | 3.68 ± 0.42 ^c | 4.6 ± 0.19 ^c | 10.83 ± 0.54 ^c | 16.87 ± 0.60 ^c |
| SFPE | 250 | 6 | 2.92 ± 0.18 ^c | 4.1 ± 0.26 ^c | 15.29 ± 0.63 ^c | 20.61 ± 0.21 ^c |
| | 500 | 6 | 4.17 ± 0.69 ^c | 5.3 ± 0.45 ^c | 8.41 ± 0.81 ^c | 12.94 ± 0.56 ^c |
| SFME | 250 | 6 | 2.45 ± 0.12 ^a | 2.4 ± 0.73 ^a | 27.83 ± 0.34 ^a | 39.24 ± 0.89 ^a |
| | 500 | 6 | 2.58 ± 0.27 ^b | 2.7 ± 0.59 ^b | 16.33 ± 0.14 ^b | 23.75 ± 0.37 ^b |
| TSF | 100 | 6 | 4.89 ± 0.12 ^c | 5.5 ± 0.14 ^c | 10.14 ± 0.30 ^c | 14.82 ± 0.43 ^c |

Significant difference compared to control group^a p< 0.05, ^b p< 0.005, ^c p< 0.001

Table 4: Effect of different doses of extracts on indomethacin induced gastric ulcer

| Treatment | Dose (mg/kg) | n | Ulcerative Lesion Index (ULI) | ULI Inhibition (%) |
|------------|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--------------------|
| Control | 5 | 6 | 17.19 ± 2.43 | ---- |
| Cimetidine | 100 | 6 | 2.75 ± 1.42 ^c | 84.00 |
| SFPE | 250 | 6 | 7.14 ± 0.92 ^c | 58.46 |
| | 500 | 6 | 4.68 ± 1.26 ^c | 72.77 |
| SFME | 250 | 6 | 11.42 ± 1.05 ^a | 33.56 |
| | 500 | 6 | 9.16 ± 0.82 ^b | 46.71 |
| TSF | 100 | 6 | 5.27 ± 0.59 ^c | 69.34 |

Significant difference compared to control group^a p< 0.05, ^b p< 0.005, ^c p< 0.001

Table 5: Effect of extracts on pH, volume of gastric fluid, free acidity and total acidity in Indomethacin induced gastric ulcer

| Treatment | Dose (mg/kg) | n | Gastric volume | pH | Free acidity | Total acidity |
|------------|--------------|---|--------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Control | 5 | 6 | 1.98 ± 1.03 | 2.0 ± 0.42 | 27.11 ± 1.67 | 42.10 ± 1.26 |
| Cimetidine | 100 | 6 | 4.13 ± 0.57 ^c | 5.8 ± 0.76 ^c | 6.14 ± 1.12 ^c | 11.04 ± 0.72 ^c |
| SFPE | 250 | 6 | 3.19 ± 0.48 ^c | 3.7 ± 0.14 ^c | 15.79 ± 0.22 ^c | 21.43 ± 0.69 ^c |
| | 500 | 6 | 3.73 ± 0.92 ^c | 5.1 ± 0.23 ^c | 12.17 ± 0.49 ^c | 17.69 ± 0.26 ^c |
| SFME | 250 | 6 | 2.54 ± 1.03 ^a | 2.9 ± 0.16 ^a | 22.09 ± 0.17 ^a | 33.18 ± 1.71 ^a |
| | 500 | 6 | 2.97 ± 0.63 ^b | 3.5 ± 0.28 ^b | 18.83 ± 0.81 ^b | 27.84 ± 1.20 ^b |
| TSF | 100 | 6 | 3.45 ± 0.41 ^c | 4.8 ± 0.17 ^c | 12.64 ± 0.24 ^c | 17.54 ± 0.21 ^c |

Significant difference compared to control group^a p< 0.05, ^b p< 0.005, ^c p< 0.001

GC-MS Analysis

The result of GC-MS analysis of *Spathodea falcata* were given in Table 1, which shows GC-MS experimental data, retention time (RT), and mass fragment of compounds for terpenoids and steroids of *Spathodea falcata*. Individual compound were identified from RT, mass data and by comparison of the data of standard compounds with those of in the literature. Seven compounds viz. lupeol, β amyryn, stigmasterol, campesterol, sitosterol, octacosane and hexadecanoic acid were identified.

Evaluation of Antiulcer Activity

All the extracts of *Spathodea falcata* showed a dose dependant gastroprotective effect against ethanol induced (Table 2 and 3), and indomethacin induced gastric ulcer (Table 4 and 5). TSF at dose of 100 mg /kg significantly protected mucosal damage (80.48%), in comparison to omeprazole (71.20%) and petroleum ether extract at a dose of 500 mg/kg (80.67%).

DISCUSSION

Peptic ulcer occurs when there is an imbalance between the damaging effects of gastric acid and pepsin and the defense mechanisms, which protects gastric mucosa from these substances. Therefore, effective drug against peptic ulcer are those which basically act either by reducing the aggressive factors or by stimulating mucosal defense. The genesis of ethanol induced gastric ulcer is associated with disturbances in gastric secretion, damage to gastric mucosa, alteration in permeability, gastric mucus depletion and also with free radical production, leads to increased lipid peroxidation which in turn causes damage to cell and cell membrane⁸. While non steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs like indomethacin are known to induce ulcer by inhibiting prostaglandin synthetase through cyclooxygenase pathway. In the stomach, prostaglandin plays a vital protective role, stimulating the secretion of bicarbonate and mucus, regulating

mucosal cell turnover and repair. Thus the suppression of prostaglandin synthesis by NSAIDS results in increased susceptibility to mucosal injury and gastric ulcer⁹. Phytochemical investigations revealed the presence of terpenes and steroids like lupeol, β amyryn, stigmasterol, campesterol, and sitosterol. Methanol extract shows the presence of hydrolysable tannins, saponin glycosides, proteins & amino acids. A review on antiulcer drugs of plant origin shows that Triterpenes like β amyryn, lupeol, ursolic acid, glycerrhetic acid and sterols like β sitosterol exert their antiulcer effect by strengthening defensive factors such as stimulation of mucus synthesis or maintenance of prostaglandin contents of gastric mucosa at high levels¹⁰. In addition, these compounds act as antioxidant which protects gastric mucosa against oxidative damage¹¹. It was observed that oral administration of all extracts of *Spathodea falcata* produces significant reduction in ulcer lesion index as well as increase in volume and pH of gastric content in both ethanol and indomethacin induced gastric ulcer models, being TSF is the most effective, it increased the gastric mucosal defense mechanism due to its high levels of terpenoids.

CONCLUSION

Present results clearly shows antiulcer effect of extracts of *Spathodea falcata* against various irritants has been mainly due to cytoprotective effect mediated through prostaglandin and partly due to free radical scavenging activity. Thus the study provides for the first time evidence that showed antiulcer effect of *Spathodea falcata* which correlate with its folklore claim as an antiulcer drug.

REFERENCES

1. Akhtar MS, Akhtar AH, Khan MA. Antiulcerogenic effects of *Ocimum basilicum* extracts, volatile oils and flavanoids glycosides in albino rats. *Int J Pharmacog* 1992; 30: 97.

2. Patil DA. Flora of Dhule and Nandurbar districts (Maharashtra), Bishen Singh Mahendra Pal Singh Publishers and Distributors of Scientific Books, New Delhi, 2000. p. 440.
3. Kirtikar KR, Basu BD. Indian Medicinal Plants India. 2nd edition, vol. III, International Book Distributors, Dehradun, 1996. p. 1844.
4. Subramanian SS, Nagrajan S, Sulochana N. Chrysin 7-rutinoside from leaves of *Spathodea falcata*. *Phytochem* 1972; 11 Suppl 1: 438.
5. Vogel GH, Vogel WH, Drug discovery and evaluation- pharmacological assays. Springer Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg; 1997. p. 401-445.
6. Hayden LJ, Thomas G, West GB. Inhibitors of gastric lesions in the rat. *J Pharm Pharmacol* 1978; 30: 244–246.
7. Xiao BS, Tsukasa M, Haruki Y. Antiulcer activity and mode of action of polysaccharide fraction from bark of panax ginseng. *Planta Med* 1992; 58: 432-5.
8. Salim AS. Removing oxygen derived free radicals stimulates healing of ethanol induced erosive gastritis in the rat. *Digestion* 1990; 47: 24–28.
9. Rainsford KD. The effect of 5-lip -oxygenase inhibitors and leuko -triene antagonists on the development of gastric lesions induced by nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs in mice. *Agents and Action* 1987; 21: 316–319.
10. Andrikopoulos NK, Kaliora AC, Assimopolou NA, Papapeorgiou VP. Biological activity of some naturally occurring resins, gums and pigments against in vitro LDL oxidation. *Phytother Res* 2003; 7: 501–507.
11. Larson RA. The antioxidants of higher plants. *Phytochem*. 1998; 27: 969–978.
12. Cronquist A. An integrated system of classification of flowering plants, New York, Columbia University; 1981. p. 44.
13. Lewis DA, Hanson PJ. Anti-ulcer drugs of plant origin. In: Ellis, G.P., West, G.B. (Eds.), *Progress in Medicinal Chemistry*, Vol. 28, Elsevier Science Publishers; 1991. p. 201–231.